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#### Abstract

An application of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to a data set. The 10 factors included are likely to have an effect on blood sugar level of diabetics. It appears that none of the factors are redundant. Principal Component Analysis was applied, using Saudi Arabian male diabetic patients attending King Abdulaziz University Hospital [400 questionnaires were distributed to patients: 300 replied]. The information considered here is age, bodymass, family history, composition of children, marital status and food habits.


## Introduction

A data set relating to some Saudi Arabian male diabetic patients was used as a case study in Principal Component Analysis. The patients, who had already been diagnosed as diabetic, attended King Abdulaziz University Hospital. 400 questionnaires were distributed during 1997: 300 patients replied. Fasting blood sugar levels were available for each patient ${ }^{[1]}$.

## Factors, Labels and Coding of the Variables

The following provides a list of labels and coding, together with their explanations where needed.

Age $\quad: \mathrm{X}_{1}$ (in years)
Bodymass
: $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ (weight in kilograms) / (height in metres) ${ }^{2}$
Family History $\quad: X_{3}=1$ if either father or mother of patient or both were also diabetic, $=0$ otherwise.

Composition of Children : $\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}, \mathrm{X}_{5}\right)=(0,0)$ if there are no children, $=(1,0)$ if there is at least one male child, $=(0,1)$ if there is no male child but at least one female child.

In Saudi society, as in various other societies, parents suffer some stress if no children are born. Also, absence of a male child sometimes causes concern. We can recognize three broad types exposed to different kinds of stress. The above coding (in preference to simply counting male and female children) is done to differentiate the 3 classes.

Marital Status $\quad:\left(X_{6}, X_{7}\right)=(0,0)$ if the person is married at the time interviewed, $=(1,0)$ if the person is divorced, $=(0,1)$ if the person is a widow/widower.

## Food Habits

: $\left(\mathrm{X}_{8}\right)=1$ if the food is high in carbohydrate, $=0$ if the food is low in carbohydrate.
$\left(\mathrm{X}_{9}\right)=1$ if the food is high in protein, $=0$ if the food is low in protein.
: $\left(\mathrm{X}_{10}\right)=1$ if the food is high in fat, $=0$ if the food is low in fat.

## Correlation Matrix between the $X$ 's

The table below gives the lower triangle of the correlation matrix for the 10 variables $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{10}$.

Table 1. Correlation matrix of the 10 variables: only the upper triangle is given.

| $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | - | -0.101 | 0.454 | 0.199 | -0.144 | -0.159 | -0.104 | -0.042 | -0.092 | -0.035 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | - | - | -0.247 | -0.330 | 0.197 | 0.350 | 0.208 | 0.071 | 0.034 | 0.089 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | - | - | - | 0.390 | -0.308 | -0.204 | -0.256 | 0.002 | -0.100 | -0.025 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | - | - | - | - | -0.703 | -0.204 | -0.204 | -0.056 | -0.11 | -0.046 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0.107 | 0.257 | 0.100 | 0.052 | 0.005 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{6}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | -0.190 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.095 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{7}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.019 | 0.052 | 0.017 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{8}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.203 | 0.072 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{9}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.094 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{10}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Looking at Table 1 we notice that there are relatively few highly significant correlations. The highest is that between $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{5}$, which are indicator variables relating to the composition of children belonging to the patient. Other high correlations are between $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}, \mathrm{X}_{4}\right),\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{6}\right),\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{4}\right),\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}, \mathrm{X}_{5}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{X}_{5}, \mathrm{X}_{7}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}, \mathrm{X}_{7}\right)$. Only these seven correlations are significant at the $1 \%$ level. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of this correlation matrix is therefore unlikely to reduce the dimensions considerably.

The Eigenvalues of this matrix, the proportion of the total variability accounted for, and the cumulative proportions, are given in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Eigenvalues, proportion of variability and the cumulative proportion of the variability for the correlation matrix in Table 1.

| Eigenvalues | 2.6082 | 1.3236 | 1.1893 | 1.0607 | 0.9408 | 0.8668 | 0.7806 | 0.4922 | 0.4618 | 0.2761 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proportion | 0.261 | 0.132 | 0.119 | 0.106 | 0.094 | 0.087 | 0.078 | 0.049 | 0.046 | 0.028 |
| Cumulative <br> proportion | 0.261 | 0.393 | 0.512 | 0.618 | 0.712 | 0.799 | 0.877 | 0.926 | 0.972 | 1.000 |

Screen plot


As is readily seen in the screen plot, the last three components are very small, so there are at most 7 important components. However, if one considers eigenvalues less than 1 to be unimportant, then there are really 4 important ones. So the number of dimensions has been reduced from 10 to 7 , or even 4 . [There are no hard and fast rules for the choice, except a subjective feeling $\left.{ }^{[2,3]}\right]$.

## Eigenvectors

We now give the list of all the 10 principal components together with their associated Eigenvectors (i.e. the multiplier coefficients for $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{10}$ ) which will produce these components.

Table 3. The eigenvectors associated with each principal components.

| Principal <br> component | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{10}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 | 0.303 | 0.344 | 0.429 | 0.499 | -0.441 | -0.230 | -0.273 | -0.102 | -0.141 | -0.066 |
| PC2 | 0.084 | -0.257 | -0.030 | -0.151 | 0.257 | -0.578 | 0.482 | -0.249 | -0.252 | -0.381 |
| PC3 | 0.260 | -0.056 | 0.237 | -0.043 | 0.104 | -0.341 | 0.219 | 0.606 | 0.539 | 0.208 |
| PC4 | -0.654 | -0.297 | -0.385 | 0.278 | -0.310 | -0.230 | 0.017 | 0.058 | 0.315 | -0.040 |
| PC5 | -0.040 | -0.171 | 0.042 | -0.083 | 0.184 | 0.183 | -0.367 | 0.357 | 0.109 | -0.787 |
| PC6 | -0.157 | -0.608 | 0.009 | -0.236 | 0.360 | -0.072 | -0.397 | 0.084 | -0.230 | 0.429 |
| PC7 | 0.165 | -0.149 | 0.036 | -0.178 | 0.106 | 0.020 | -0.193 | -0.637 | 0.680 | -0.031 |
| PC8 | -0.561 | 0.368 | 0.641 | -0.103 | 0.105 | -0.284 | -0.160 | -0.102 | 0.005 | -0.019 |
| PC9 | 0.193 | 0.394 | -0.440 | -0.039 | -0.015 | -0.568 | -0.535 | 0.036 | -0.046 | 0.009 |
| PC10 | -0.004 | 0.112 | -0.055 | 0.736 | 0.657 | 0.037 | 0.004 | -0.068 | 0.058 | 0.033 |

We first look at the multiplier coefficients for the three redundant components PC8, PC9 and PC10. PC10 as we might have guessed from our inspection of the correlation matrix, is a linear function of $X_{4}$ and $X_{5}$. (These 2 indicator variables are highly negatively correlated).

PC9 is essentially a linear combination of $X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{6}$ and $X_{7}$, some of which were noticed as being highly correlated. PC8 is a linear combination of $X_{1}, X_{3}$ and less importantly, $X_{2}$ and $X_{6}$. Again we see that $X_{1}$ and $X_{3}$ are highly correlated.

There appear to be no obvious contenders for redundant variables among these components.

Looking now at the important components, we see that PC1, which accounts for only $26.1 \%$ of the total variability in the X 's, has relatively high coefficients for all the X 's except $\mathrm{X}_{8}, \mathrm{X}_{9}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{10}$. It describes a linear comparison between $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{4}$, and between $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{7}$. There is no obvious practical interpretation of this component.

For the other components, one could say that PC4 is accounting mainly for $\mathrm{X}_{1}=$ Age, PC5 is accounting mainly for $\mathrm{X}_{10}=$ Fat content of diet and PC6 is ac-
counting mainly for $\mathrm{X}_{2}=$ bodymass, i.e. PC4, PC5 and PC6 each relate primarily to a single variable. This is a consequence of the relatively few highly significant correlations between the original variables.
PC7 relates to a contrast between $\mathrm{X}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{9}$. PC2 is a linear combination of most variables, except $X_{1}, X_{3}$ and $X 4$ and PC3 is a linear combination of most variables except $X_{2}, X_{4}$ and $X_{5}$. PC3 has large coefficients for $X_{5}$ and $X_{9}$. Neither of these has an obvious interpretation.

We have identified at least 3 redundant components, but overall it appears that all 10 of the original variables are contributing to the overall variation in the original data.

## Components and Blood Sugar

It is of some interest to see how important components are related to the blood sugar levels.

TABLE 4. Correlation coefficient of principal components with fasting blood sugar levels.

| Principal <br> components | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PV7 | PC8 | PC9 | PC10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correlation <br> coefficient | -0.768 | -0.090 | -0.125 | 0.089 | -0.127 | -0.096 | -0.046 | -0.128 | 0.019 | -0.179 |

Table 4 gives the correlation coefficients for the component scores and fasting blood sugar level. Looking at Table 4 we can see that the first component has by far the highest correlation. None of the others is significant.

The multiple regression of blood sugar level on the 10 components for the 300 patients is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y}= & -49.0 \mathrm{PCI}-8.08 \mathrm{PC} 2-11.8 \mathrm{PC} 3 \\
& -8.95 \mathrm{PC} 4-13.5 \mathrm{PC} 5-10.7 \mathrm{PC} 6 \\
& -5.36 \mathrm{PC} 7-18.8 \mathrm{PC} 8-2.89 \mathrm{PC} 9 \\
& -35.2 \mathrm{PC} 10
\end{aligned}
$$

leading to $\mathrm{R}^{2}=69.9 \%$, which checks with the result of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ from multiple regression of Y on $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{10}{ }^{[1]}$. In the multiple regression analysis, the variables $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{9}$ appeared to be superfluous.

However, the PCA tells us that none of the 10 variables is really redundant. So the implication is that all 10 variables are worth recording in future studies.
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## استخدام أسلوب المركبات الرئيسية في تحليل بيانات السكر في الدم لدى مجموعة من المرضى السعوديين الذكور

## بكري معتوق عساس

قسم الإحصاء - كلية العلوم - جامعة الملك عبدالعزيز جـــــــة - المملكة العربية السعودية

المستخاص .
 مجموعة من المتغيرات الملائمة لدراسة هذا المرض من عينة من السعوديين



 الرئيسيـة ، وقد وجد أهمية مسساهمة جميع المتغير ات المير المستقلة في البيانات

